

July 2020 Utah Agricultural Outlook
COVID Impacts on Cow-calf, Dairy, and Alfalfa Hay

July, 2020

Michael D. Helmar
University Center for Economic Development
University of Nevada, Reno
Mhelmar@cabnr.unr.edu



Introduction

The outlook for agriculture is dependent on a number of factors including the economy, domestic and international policy shifts, technological advancements, resource endowments and availability, and changing consumer tastes and preferences. But the current outlook is dominated by the COVID-19 pandemic that has gripped the world since early 2020 and which is likely to persist for at least several more months. The world has had to drastically change in response.

COVID-19 has impacted nearly every aspect of life around the globe, from international business to daily living, and is first and foremost a biological issue. Social adjustments, economic malaise, industrial contractions and outright shutdowns that have disrupted supply chains are but symptoms of the pandemic. Before the world can return to normal operations, the biological aspects of the pandemic must be addressed and at least controlled. How long that will take is anybody's guess. The hope for a vaccine at the end of the year is not a sure thing, and the resulting uncertainty continues to disrupt markets and market expectations. For these reasons, this agricultural outlook is but a best estimate given a set of assumptions about gradual recovery both in terms of the pandemic and resulting economic and ag industry recovery. At the time of this writing there have been signs of re-emergence of the virus and worsening conditions in areas that have relaxed social constraints.

COVID-19 Supply Chain Impacts

Utah's agricultural markets, like all global agriculture markets, have been impacted by supply chain disruptions directly attributed to the COVID-19 pandemic. The agricultural supply chain connects livestock and crop producers to processing and packing firms who in turn supply wholesalers, who then supply commercial, institutional, and retail customers who ultimately deliver agriculture products directly to individuals and households. Traditionally, disruptions to the supply chain resulted from regional disasters like fire, seasonal impacts like drought, or industry-specific disturbances such as labor disputes or regulatory challenges. However, disruptions stemming from COVID-19 have been global rather than regional, occurring with uncertainty of resolution rather than seasonal, and impact all sectors along the supply chain including producers, transportation, processors, points-of-purchase, and consumers.

Wuhan, China was the first global region to report COVID-19 in December 2019. The contagion spread rapidly during winter 2020 and by March 2020 the U.S. and most major trading partners had shuttered most of their economies and institutions such as schools, travel, tourism, transportation, and retail sectors. This resulted in dramatic drops in demand, particularly in terms of food-away-from-home that flows through institutions and dining out. Additionally, most ag processing is engineered to process and package specific to these types of commercial customers. The result was a backlog of livestock and dairy (in particular) on the producer end,

and shortages of ag product availability on the consumer end. Shortage of labor in processing and transportation due to illness and travel closures exacerbated an already strained supply chain.

Several characteristics of the livestock processing and packing industry contributed to a meat supply chain choke-point - high rate of industry consolidation, capital asset fixity, and skilled labor working closely indoors. The consolidation and fixity of the engineered product lines cannot easily adapt to shifts in demand away from food-away-from-home to retail. Proximity of skilled workers encouraged rapid spread of COVID-19 requiring temporary closure of many plants. These combined processing challenges resulted in a backlog of animals pending slaughter and livestock producers having to incur additional costs of holding, or euthanizing, animals. The backlog of animals contributed to retail meat shortages which were further exacerbated by above average retail purchases as consumers hedged against perceived future shortages during the initial COVID-19 shelter-at-home measures across the U.S. Additionally, timely re-stocking of retail meat was hampered by transportation glitches related to travel restrictions and labor shortages. The outcome was significantly higher retail prices, processor margins, and lower prices paid to livestock producers.

Dairy producers realized the largest drops in producer prices during the COVID-19 pandemic. Unlike livestock producers, milk production occurs on a daily basis and producers don't have the option of 'holding' product. Dairy producers have been particularly impacted by the shift from institutional and restaurant demand to retail demand, especially for liquid milk, cheese, and butter. Similar to meat production, adjustment to dairy processing lines designed to package products for commercial end-users to retail packaging for individual end-users requires significant investments of time and money. Moreover, the peak of the U.S. COVID-19 quarantine coincided with annual peak milk production that occurs during calving season. Producer milk prices dropped as much as 80%, resulting in wide scale dumping of fluid milk. But with initial recovery, much of the dairy price drop has been alleviated

Utah livestock and dairy producers fared slightly better than other U.S. producers, in part because of production model – Utah livestock producers primarily sell live animals to feedlots and outside the state rather than feeding for slaughter within the state. Nevertheless, there is some finishing and local slaughter in Utah.

The Outlook

Estimates of the impact of the coronavirus on the U.S. and global economy, in general, and on agriculture in particular are presented in the remainder of this document. For Utah, we will concentrate on the cow-calf, dairy, and alfalfa hay sectors, while recognizing that other state agricultural products are also important. We use the FAPRI January global, U.S. and Utah outlooks as a baseline to compare the estimates from the FAPRI-Missouri June baseline update that were used to provide information to the Utah agricultural models.


The Economy

The disruption to the U.S. and global economies has been rapid and severe. The expectation is that the depth of the downturn in economic activity will be greater than what was experienced during the Great Recession. The path to recovery is not likely to be smooth or quick, and the economy is likely to experience impacts over several years. The entire world has seen an economic downturn, disrupting not only domestic activity but also trade for some time to come. Even if growth commences next year, it will take two to three years to reach the level of economic activity seen before the pandemic.


Social restrictions have severely reduced our engagement in typical activities such as retail, entertainment, and other services. The resulting loss of jobs has pushed the unemployment rate beyond that of the last recession. Even with federal unemployment provisions, incomes have been cut, exacerbating consumer ability to purchase. The combination of social restrictions and job losses creates an unemployment situation that feeds on itself.

One area that has seen a dramatic shift has been where we eat. Restaurant closures and reduced household budgets have resulted in more meals eaten at home. Reduced consumption is partly because of reduced availability of dining options, but the predominant factor has been that more expensive restaurant consumption was replaced by less costly home consumption. It will take several years for overall consumption to recover. However, there may be one or more cycles of the pandemic ahead that will prevent a smooth and steady recovery.


Larger Drop Than in Great Recession



COVID Keeps Workers Idle at Home



Food Spending Takes a Hit as Meals Shift to Home




The sharp reduction in economic activity, including travel restrictions, caused a glut in oil inventories around the world, and thus, prices collapsed. The situation became so drastic that oil prices temporarily turned negative to encourage movement of crude and products through the supply chain until producers were able to curtail output. Crude prices have begun to edge up again. Prices are expected to rebound in 2021 as the global oil balance adjusts, but long-term prices will likely remain low.


Lower demand for major consumer goods, less investment by companies, as well as policy moves to help buoy the economy have pushed interest rates down. Similar to the recovery from the last recession, the duration of low interest rates following the pandemic is expected to last several years. The need to stimulate the economy will induce the Fed to keep borrowing rates low, helping commercial lenders maintain low rates as well. As long as inflation remains under control, low interest rates will be possible.

A lower level of economic activity has, and will continue to, decrease demand for goods and services, including those that are necessary for agricultural production. Inputs such as fuels that are petroleum based will have lower prices. This will also reduce transportation costs for other inputs. Although the percent change in fuels will be similar to what was expected earlier this year, it is starting from a substantially lower base. Higher unemployment will reduce labor costs for several years, and services that are labor dependent will be cheaper as well. While agricultural prices are anticipated to remain subdued, lower production costs will mitigate some impact on producer profit levels.


Economic Weakness Induces Less Oil Demand



Interest Rates Will Help Reduce Borrowing Costs



Slower Cost Increases Ahead



Cow-calf Industry


In April and May of this year, COVID-19 caused closures in some of the largest meat processing plants in the country. A sharp reduction in slaughter and processing followed, affecting not only cattle, but also hogs and sheep. A shortage of meat at the retail level developed while producers could not move animals into feedlots and slaughter facilities. By June, this bottleneck eased, processing began to recover, and placements rose on feedlots. At the end of June, weekly slaughter equaled that of 2019. However, the recent resurgence of the virus reminds us that substantial risks to the processing industry remain.

The initial impact of processor closures was a rise in the retail price of beef, but also a sharp drop in price of live cattle. As processing resumed, retail prices began to soften while producer prices rose, but not to levels seen before the pandemic. There still exists a glut of cattle in feedlots and at cow-calf operations. As the economy recovers, fallout from the COVID-19 recession will linger, depressing retail demand and causing lower prices than expected in January and weaker prices for cattle producers.

The outlook for Utah cattle ranchers is that prices will be lower than expected six months ago. And financial stress is expected to persist into 2021. But even as economic growth returns, the effect on the overall level of economic activity will persist and Utah producers will see consistently lower prices than projected earlier in 2020. However, prices will return to levels exceeding those of the past recession in subsequent years. However, prices will likely not approach those of 2014 and 2015 anytime soon.


Supply Chain Bottleneck Is Easing

U.S. cattle slaughter, ths head




COVID-19 Will Prolong the Down Cycle

Cattle prices, \$



Utah Ranchers Will Face Continued Downturn

Utah feeder steer price, \$/cwt




The health and economic fallout felt around the world from this pandemic is impacting world trade. Global markets have shrunk in recent months, including for agricultural products. Beef trade was hit by both a reluctance to allow shipments into some countries and the economic impacts of the pandemic. For the U.S., beef imports are not likely to be notably different than projected in January, but exports were substantially curtailed. It's expected to take several years before they recover to 2020 projections.

Costs of production will decline marginally in the next year. Fuel and feed, in particular will cost less, along with labor that affects both on-farm costs and those for important ag services. However, cattle prices will fall much more, especially for 2020 and 2021, severely squeezing producers' margins. Whereas the past several years were not stellar in terms of financial robustness, this year and next are anticipated to be even worse, with net returns the lowest since early in the last recession. Recovery is expected to begin in 2022 with profits rising over several years.

Utah's cattle inventories have been relatively flat in recent years. Among the reasons is movement of younger people off the ranch, economic risks, limited land available for grazing, and competition for that land from other uses. Utah's cattle industry is closely tied to the availability of federal grazing land, specifically that managed by the BLM and Forest Service. With little expectations of increasing grazing allotments and mediocre economics ahead, it is not expected that cattle numbers will increase in the state during this outlook.


Hitting the World Market: Trade Surplus Narrows

U.S. beef trade, bil lb




Low Prices in Short Term Will Squeeze Profits

Utah cow-calf, \$/bred cow



Economics, Available Grazing Will Limit Herd Size

Utah cattle, 1,000 head



Dairy


The same general health and economic forces that impacted the meat sector also disrupted the dairy sector. Processing was curtailed by workers contracting the virus in milk processing plants. Demand has been hampered by constraints to consumption, particularly from closures at restaurants and institutions such as schools, but also by loss of income. With reduced bottling and processing, prices fell sharply for several months to levels not seen since the Great Recession. There has been recent recovery in processing and prices.

For Utah dairymen the story is largely the same. Loss of local markets for fluid milk, including for school breakfasts and lunches, and reduced processing caused supplies to outstrip demand. While Utah producers have some temporary protection from contracts with processors, even that market has limitations. However, Utah processors did not suffer the severe shutdowns felt in larger plants elsewhere. While little dumping was reported locally, producers have had to find other uses, such as calf feeding to absorb excess supplies.

While prices have recovered since April, they will not offset annual losses. Producer margins for the year, as a whole, are expected to be dismal. On average, net margins are expected to disappear, while margins above feed costs will be greatly reduced. As such, the Dairy Margin Coverage Program (DMC) will make substantial payments to producers at all margin levels. Since DMC pays on monthly rather than annual margins, payments are being made, particularly at higher margins, and will continue over the outlook period at least for part of each year.

Milk Prices Reflect National Supply Glut


Milk price, \$/cwt



Source: Nevada Dairy Commission, USDA, FAPRI, UCED

Milk Prices Reflect National Supply Glut


Utah milk price, \$/cwt



Source: Nevada Dept. of Agriculture, USDA, FAPRI, UCED

Dairy Producers Face Tough Few Years

Utah dairy margins, \$/cwt



Sources: USDA, FAPRI-MU, UCED


Dairy profits will be squeezed in 2020 from both the cost and revenue sides. If prices do not hold at recent highs for the remainder of the year, revenues will fall sharply. Lower milk prices on average than a year ago reduce profitability and lower cattle prices will lower returns for dairy calf, heifer, and cow sales. Higher feed costs will further erode profits. Although grain and protein meal feeds are lower this year, hay prices, which make up a substantial amount of feed costs are higher, even as Utah hay production was similar to 2019 for the first half of 2020.

Utah dairy herds have been generally on the upswing for the past decade, with the exception of 2016 and a smaller retrenchment last year. With COVID-19, that expansion is expected to take a short-term hiatus through next year. The poor financial environment eliminates incentives to expand existing herds or attract more operations from out of state. Once the pandemic has eased, expansion may resume, but it is expected to be gradual in coming years. Continued improvements in milk yields will be the primary factor driving the state's milk production.

Utah dairy products are not only marketed domestically, but have a presence on international markets. The state's dairy exports did not suffer in the early part of 2020 as did many other agricultural products. Utah dairy exports are dominated by high-quality cheeses shipped to high-income Asian markets. Those shipments did not suffer appreciably through May, the latest data available. However, with the U.S.-China trade tensions, shipments to that nation have fallen appreciably in the past year. China is an important market, but not one of the largest for Utah cheese producers.


Dairy Struggles With Profitability

Utah dairy, \$/cwt of milk sold




Dairy Expansion Will Slow in the Short Term

Utah dairy



Utah's Export Markets Are Still Holding Up

Utah dairy product exports, \$ths



Alfalfa Hay


Alfalfa hay prices have risen from a year ago while grass hay prices are about the same. This benefits hay producers but adds to feed costs for dairy producers, and cattlemen who supplement grazing with hay or rely on hay after the grazing season. Developing drought could erode hay production and contribute to severe fires, reducing grazing for a few years in burn areas, making those ranchers more dependent on hay. According to the U.S. Drought Monitor, much of Utah is in severe drought, with extreme drought developing in western Utah. The price risk for hay is definitely to the upside.

Initial alfalfa cuttings in Utah this year were similar to those in 2019. USDA estimates acreage harvested at 520 thousand acres, compared to 530 thousand acres in 2019. Intentions reported in the March *Prospective Plantings* of total hay acreage for Utah were 690 thousand acres, and USDA estimates thus far are for 695 thousand acres compared to 680 thousand last year. Prices will not likely rise substantially if current production expectations are met.

With slightly higher hay prices for Utah this year, expectations are for a small uptick in total revenues. This year's prices notwithstanding, a decline in prices is expected next year, then more stable feed prices, including hay, beyond then. As such, producer revenues are also expected to change very little after 2020. In contrast, most other input costs are expected to rise similar to the rate of inflation, and fuel costs exceed that rate of increase. The bottom line is that net revenues over costs will gradually shrink, although they will remain healthy.


Alfalfa Prices Remain Strong in Early 2020

Utah hay prices, \$/ton




Early Hay Cuttings About As Expected

Utah hay acreage, ths



Modest Cost Increases Do Not Erode Profitability

Utah alfalfa, \$/harvested acre



Summary

The general outlook for Utah agriculture in the short to medium term is for relatively low prices and constrained profitability. COVID-19 is creating an uncertain environment for consumers of all products, including agricultural products. The shift in where and how we eat is expected to be mitigated somewhat as the pandemic eases, but not disappear completely in the next year or two. While there has been a resumption of activity at many processing facilities, the ominous specter of additional rounds of infection leaves the nation and world anxious and the outlook with more uncertainty than previous years.

Markets lost by Utah cattle and dairy producers during the COVID-19 pandemic have improved significantly, but are not yet fully recovered. For cow-calf operators, there remains a smaller, albeit persistent backlog of cattle that has yet to move into slaughter and packing plants, nor into feedlots. Cattle prices have risen substantially in the past couple of months but remain below those of a year ago and below expectations at the beginning of this year.

Milk prices rose sharply in June and continued at higher levels into July as processors have resumed much of their operations. In addition, cheese and butter stocks have been bolstered by federal purchases. It is likely that there has been sufficient time for dairy producers to trim herds in response to the oversupply and that lower output has contributed to a more balanced supply/demand situation for milk.

In Utah, hay production is similar to that of a year ago, but the market remains tight and price elevated. The possibility of a worsening drought with implications for yields and a severe fire season adds considerable risk to the hay market.

For the next year or two, the financial outlook for Utah agricultural producers is uncertain, filled with increased risk, and profitability is expected to be tight for the next several years.

June 2020 Utah Outlook Tables

Table 1. Economic Assumptions

	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025
Real GDP growth, %										
U.S.	1.6	2.2	2.9	2.3	-7.3	5.1	4.6	3.9	3.3	2.8
World	2.6	3.3	3.2	2.6	-5.5	4.5	3.9	3.5	3.3	3.2
Interest rates, %										
Fed funds rate	0.40	1.00	1.83	2.20	0.40	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10
Prime rate	3.51	4.10	4.90	5.28	3.54	3.25	3.25	3.25	3.25	3.25
30-yr mortgage	3.65	3.99	4.54	3.94	3.32	3.02	2.95	3.06	3.25	3.50
WTI crude oil price										
\$/barrel	43.21	50.96	64.89	56.98	30.97	44.24	54.74	51.21	54.55	59.92
% change	-11.3	17.9	27.3	-12.2	-45.6	42.8	23.7	-6.4	6.5	9.8
Population, % change										
U.S.	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7
World	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.9	0.9

Sources: IMF, HIS Markit

Table 2. Production Cost Indices, 2015=100

	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025
Seed	97	96	95	93	91	90	89	88	89	90
% change	-2.6	-1.2	-1.2	-2.1	-2.0	-1.6	-1.2	-0.3	0.7	1.6
Fertilizer	82	76	76	80	83	79	85	86	87	91
% change	-17.7	-7.8	0.8	5.1	2.6	-4.2	7.8	1.3	1.2	4.7
Agricultural chemicals	101	98	95	95	91	92	92	93	95	96
% change	1.1	-3.4	-3.2	0.2	-3.7	0.8	0.5	1.0	1.3	1.8
Feed	96	89	94	97	97	97	96	96	96	96
% change	-4.4	-6.5	5.4	3.1	0.1	-0.6	-0.6	0.2	0.0	-0.1
Farm machinery	101	103	105	108	108	110	112	115	118	121
% change	0.7	2.0	2.0	3.3	-0.4	2.3	1.5	2.4	2.7	2.4
Trucks & Autos	100	100	100	100	101	102	103	105	106	107
% change	0.4	0.0	-0.5	0.3	0.4	1.0	1.4	1.3	1.1	1.0
Fuels	88	100	112	116	98	104	118	121	126	133
% change	-12.4	13.7	12.5	3.5	-15.6	5.9	14.3	2.0	4.3	5.5
Wages	104	106	113	119	120	122	125	128	131	135
% change	3.6	2.8	6.0	5.5	1.2	1.2	2.4	2.6	2.6	2.7
Farm services	102	99	101	103	103	104	105	106	108	111
% change	1.7	-2.8	1.9	1.9	0.1	0.8	1.1	1.6	1.8	2.2
Farm repairs	100	102	106	108	109	111	114	116	119	122
% change	0.2	2.0	3.3	2.4	0.8	1.8	2.3	2.5	2.6	2.5
Farm supplies	100	101	105	109	110	111	113	114	116	118
% change	0.2	1.2	3.7	3.5	1.4	0.9	1.1	1.2	1.5	1.7

Sources: USDA, BLS, IHS Markit

Table 3. Utah Agricultural Commodity Prices

	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025
Livestock & product prices										
Feeder steers, \$/cwt	156.85	159.33	162.87	157.10	150.54	150.05	162.89	169.99	173.02	175.64
Milk, \$/cwt	16.20	17.70	16.10	18.42	16.16	16.09	17.12	17.78	17.81	17.99
Hay, \$/ton										
Alfalfa	127	134	172	182	184	171	169	169	170	170
Other hay	104	116	133	146	147	137	135	135	136	136
Grains, \$/bushel										
Wheat	3.98	5.00	5.70	4.95	5.34	5.32	5.24	5.17	5.26	5.34
Barley	2.36	3.08	3.69	3.80	3.57	3.54	3.54	3.53	3.54	3.56
Oats	2.32	2.74	2.79	2.99	2.74	2.64	2.58	2.57	2.58	2.59
Corn	3.87	3.96	4.31	4.40	3.62	3.74	3.79	3.82	3.85	3.88

Sources: USDA, FAPRI, UCED

Table 4. Utah Estimated Returns

	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025
Livestock and products										
Cow-calf, \$/bred cow										
Gross revenue	713.33	719.48	706.74	681.68	653.24	651.10	706.82	737.61	750.78	762.13
Variable costs	556.81	560.60	589.62	592.93	610.81	598.29	608.09	619.90	630.94	641.26
Net returns	156.52	158.88	117.12	88.74	42.43	52.81	98.73	117.72	119.84	120.87
Milk, \$/cwt										
Gross revenue	18.87	20.42	18.84	21.12	18.76	18.68	19.87	20.62	20.69	20.90
Variable costs	16.77	16.94	18.64	18.89	18.73	17.98	18.33	18.68	19.00	19.30
Net returns	2.09	3.47	0.19	2.23	0.02	0.70	1.54	1.94	1.69	1.60
Crops, \$/acre										
Alfalfa hay										
Gross revenue	533.40	562.80	636.40	782.60	793.11	736.64	727.22	728.21	730.84	732.73
Variable costs	416.42	416.96	419.65	453.22	459.33	461.20	473.03	483.50	496.44	510.57
Net returns	116.98	145.84	216.75	329.38	333.78	275.44	254.18	244.72	234.40	222.17
Wheat										
Gross revenue	258.55	281.20	311.30	283.39	264.13	265.93	263.80	261.98	268.25	274.32
Variable costs	138.01	135.01	137.15	141.10	139.38	138.27	144.77	146.88	149.62	154.82
Net returns	120.54	146.19	174.15	142.28	124.75	127.65	119.02	115.10	118.63	119.50
Barley										
Gross revenue	315.69	268.40	314.33	343.63	319.40	323.70	327.18	329.57	333.18	338.83
Variable costs	135.40	134.02	137.07	141.12	138.37	138.17	144.91	147.16	150.21	155.40
Net returns	180.29	134.38	177.26	202.51	181.02	185.53	182.27	182.41	182.97	183.42
Oats										
Gross revenue	185.92	204.53	219.48	232.75	217.02	210.87	207.82	207.38	209.17	211.57
Variable costs	63.14	63.41	65.22	67.00	64.99	65.33	68.56	69.67	71.27	73.74
Net returns	122.78	141.12	154.27	165.75	152.02	145.54	139.26	137.71	137.90	137.84
Corn										
Gross revenue	683.09	685.38	750.21	590.98	549.70	570.77	584.26	595.05	605.86	618.12
Variable costs	244.67	242.05	245.16	248.62	243.01	241.40	250.02	252.71	257.12	265.13
Net returns	438.42	443.34	505.05	342.35	306.69	329.37	334.24	342.34	348.74	352.99

Sources: USDA, UCED